

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,273	09/16/2003	George D. Hermann	06-516 US	3435
34704 7590 IUI82008 BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C. 900 CHAPEL STREET SUTE 1201 NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			EXAMINER	
			RYCKMAN, MELISSA K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
111777 2117711	111111111111111111111111111111111111111		3773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/664.273 HERMANN ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit MELISSA RYCKMAN 3773 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) MELISSA RYCKMAN. (3) (2) George Coury. (4)____. Date of Interview: 12 November 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: ____ Claim(s) discussed: 50 and 51. Identification of prior art discussed: Pierce. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner suggested defining the opposed ends to proximal and distal ends, as to define the location of the insert. This appears to overcome the previous rejection. The examiner will update the search upon receiving the amendments . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. /(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/ /Melissa Ryckman/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773